#### THE SCHOOL BOARD

#### OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA

#### EDUCATIONAL FACILITIES IMPACT FEE FUND

Special-Purpose Financial Statements As of and for the Years Ended June 30, 2013 and 2012



C Borders-Byrd, CPA LLC Accountants and Consultants

Special-Purpose Financial Statements

June 30, 2013 and 2012

#### Content

Report of Independent Certified Public Accountants1-2
Special-Purpose Financial Statements
Balance Sheets 3   Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance 4   Notes to Special-Purpose Financial Statements 5-14
Combining Financial Statements
Combining Balance Sheets15 Combining Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance16
Required Supplementary Information
Schedule of Construction in Progress and COPS Debt Service Commitments (Unaudited) 17
Report of Independent Certified Public Accountants on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Special-Purpose Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>
Schedule of Comments and Recommendations



#### **Report of Independent Certified Public Accountants**

To the Honorable Chairperson and Members of The School Board of Miami-Dade County, Florida

#### **Report on Special-Purpose Financial Statements**

We have audited the accompanying special-purpose financial statements of the Educational Facilities Impact Fee Fund (the "Fund") of The School Board of Miami-Dade County, Florida, (the "School Board") which comprise the special-purpose balance sheets as of June 30, 2013 and 2012, and the related special-purpose statements of revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balance for the years then ended, and the related notes to the special-purpose financial statements.

#### Management's Responsibility for the Special-Purpose Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these special-purpose financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America and the financial reporting provisions of Section 11 of the Interlocal Agreement dated May 1995, as amended, between The School Board of Miami-Dade County, Florida and Miami Dade County, Florida; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of special-purpose financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

#### Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these special-purpose financial statements based on our audits. We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the special-purpose financial statements are free of material misstatement.

An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the special-purpose financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the special-purpose financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the special-purpose financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the special-purpose financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinion.

#### Opinion

In our opinion, the special-purpose financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Educational Facilities Impact Fee Fund of The School Board of Miami-Dade County, Florida, as of June 30, 2013 and 2012, and the results of its operations for the years then ended, on the basis of accounting described in Note 1.



#### Other Matters

We draw attention to Note 1 of the special-purpose financial statements, which describes the basis of accounting. As described in Note 1, these special-purpose financial statements were prepared in conformity and for the purpose of complying with financial reporting provisions of Section 11 of the Interlocal Agreement between The School Board of Miami-Dade County, Florida, and Miami-Dade County, Florida, which present only the financial position of the Educational Facilities Impact Fee Fund as of June 30, 2013 and 2012 and the results of operations for the years then ended and is not intended to present fairly the financial position and results of operations of The School Board of Miami-Dade County, Florida. Our opinion is not modified with respect to this matter.

#### **Other Information**

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming an opinion on the special-purpose financial statements. The accompanying Combining Financial Statements on pages 15 and 16 are presented for purposes of additional analysis and are not a required part of the special-purpose financial statements. The combining special-purpose financial statements are the responsibility of management and were derived from and relate directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the special-purpose financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the special-purpose financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the special-purpose financial statements or to the special-purpose financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the information is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the special-purpose financial statements as a whole.

The information listed as required supplementary information in the table of contents is not a required part of the special-purpose financial statements, but is supplementary information required by the Interlocal Agreement between The School Board of Miami-Dade County, Florida, and Miami-Dade County, Florida. We have applied certain limited procedures, which consisted of inquiries of management about the methods of preparing the information and comparing the information for consistency with management's responses to our inquiries, the special-purpose financial statements, and other knowledge we obtained during our audit of the special-purpose financial statements. We do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on the information because the limited procedures do not provide us with sufficient evidence to express an opinion or provide any assurance.

#### Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated September 25, 2013, on our consideration of the Fund's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations and contracts, and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on internal control over financial reporting are porting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the Fund's internal control over financial reporting and compliance.

#### **Restriction of Use**

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Honorable Chairperson and members of The School Board of Miami-Dade County, Florida and the Honorable Mayor and members of the Miami-Dade County Board of County Commissioners and management and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

C Borders-Byrd, CPA LLC

Miami, Florida September 25, 2013

#### Balance Sheets

	June	• 30		
	2013	2012		
Assets				
Cash and investments	\$ 5,628,036	\$ 582,227		
Interest and dividends receivable	1,543	320		
Due from Miami-Dade County	7,382,163	3,396,549		
Total assets	\$ 13,011,742	\$ 3,979,096		
<b>Liabilities and fund balance</b> Liabilities: Due to other funds Total liabilities	<u>\$ 8,457,252</u> <u>8,457,252</u>	<u>\$ 1,639,845</u> <u>1,639,845</u>		
Fund balance:				
Restricted for:				
Capital projects	4,554,490	2,339,251		
Total fund balance	4,554,490	2,339,251		
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$ 13,011,742	\$ 3,979,096		

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the special-purpose financial statements.

# Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance

# For the Years Ended June 30, 2013 and 2012

	2013	2012
Revenues:		
Impact fees	\$ 20,920,976	\$ 8,338,716
Investment income	2,186	537
Total revenues	20,923,162	8,339,253
Expenditures:		
Administrative	8,297	79,226
Total expenditures	8,297	79,226
Excess of revenues over expenditures	20,914,865	8,260,027
Other financing (uses):		
Operating transfers out - debt service fund	(18,699,626)	(6,981,494)
Total other financing (uses)	(18,699,626)	(6,981,494)
Net change in fund balance	2,215,239	1,278,533
Beginning fund balance	2,339,251	1,060,718
Ending fund balance	\$ 4,554,490	\$ 2,339,251

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the special-purpose financial statements.

Notes to Special-Purpose Financial Statements

As of and for the Years Ended June 30, 2013 and 2012

#### 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies and Reporting Practices

# **Reporting Entity**

The Educational Facilities Impact Fee Fund (the "Fund") of The School Board of Miami-Dade County, Florida, (the "School Board") was established pursuant to Section 235.19 of the Florida Statutes and Ordinance 95-79 of Miami-Dade County, Florida, (the "Ordinance") in May of 1995. The Ordinance was passed by the Miami-Dade County Board of County Commissioners for the express purpose of levying impact fees on new residential developments and additions to residences. These fees provide resources for the construction of educational facilities necessitated by residential development. The Educational Facilities Impact Fee is collected and accounted for based on one of three Benefit Districts created under the Ordinance. Monies collected are required to be expended for the development and/or acquisition of educational facilities or the repayment of debt service within the Benefit District where the monies were collected.

The administration of the Fund is governed by Ordinance 95-79 of Miami-Dade County, Florida, the Educational Facilities Impact Fee Administration Manual and the Interlocal Agreement between The School Board of Miami-Dade County, Florida, and Miami-Dade County, Florida.

The School Board accounts for the impact fee activities in separately identifiable funds within the Capital Projects Funds. The special-purpose financial statements present the financial operations of the Impact Fee Fund only and do not include the assets, liabilities, fund balances and the revenues and expenditures of The School Board of Miami-Dade County, Florida.

For financial reporting purposes, the Fund is a fund of The School Board of Miami-Dade County, Florida, and is thus included in the School Board's comprehensive annual financial report.

#### Measurement Focus, Basis of Accounting and Basis of Presentation

The accounts of the Fund are organized and operated on the basis of funds. A fund is an independent fiscal and accounting entity with a self-balancing set of accounts. Fund accounting segregates funds according to their intended purpose and used to aid management in demonstrating compliance with finance-related legal and contractual provisions.

The Educational Facilities Impact Fee Fund is reported as the following fund type:

**Governmental funds** are used to account for the government's general government activities. Government fund types use the flow of current financial resources measurement focus and the modified accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recognized as soon as they become both measurable and available. Revenues are considered to be available when they are collectible within the current period or soon enough thereafter to pay the liabilities of the current period. For this purpose the government considers revenues to be available if they are collected within 60 days of the current fiscal period. Expenditures are recorded when a liability is incurred, as under accrual accounting. However, debt service expenditures and claims and judgments are recorded only when payment is due.

Notes to Special-Purpose Financial Statements - Continued

As of and for the Years Ended June 30, 2013 and 2012

# 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies and Reporting Practices - Continued

#### Investments

Investments are carried at fair value and include primarily U.S. Agency obligations, commercial paper, time deposits and money market mutual funds.

#### Accounting Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenditures during the reporting period. Actual results may differ from those estimates.

#### Fund Balances

Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statement No. 54. *Fund Balance Reporting and Governmental Fund Type Definitions* establish accounting and financial reporting standards for governmental funds. It establishes criteria for classifying fund balances into specifically defined classifications and clarifies definitions for governmental fund types. Fund balances for governmental funds are reported in classifications that comprise a hierarchy based upon the extent to which the government is bound to honor constraints on the uses of those resources.

GASB Statement No. 54 requires the fund balance to be properly reported within one of the fund balance categories listed below:

**Nonspendable** – Fund balance amounts that cannot be spent because they are not expected to be converted to cash or they are legally or contractually required to be maintained intact.

#### Spendable Fund Balance

**Restricted** – Fund balance amounts on which constraints have been placed by creditors, grantors, contributors, laws or regulations of other governments, constitutional provisions or enabling legislation.

**Committed** – Fund balance amounts that can only be used for specific purposes pursuant to constraints imposed by the formal action of the highest level of decision-making authority (The School Board). The amounts cannot be used for any other purpose unless the School Board removes or changes the specified use by taking the same formal action it employed to commit the amounts.

Notes to Special-Purpose Financial Statements - Continued

As of and for the Years Ended June 30, 2013 and 2012

# 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies and Reporting Practices - Continued

# Spendable Fund Balance (continued)

**Assigned** – Fund balance amounts intended to be used for specific purpose but are neither restricted nor committed. Assigned amounts include those that have been set aside for a specific purpose by actions of The School Board or Superintendent and not included in other categories.

**Unassigned** – Includes negative balances for any governmental fund if expenditures exceed amounts restricted, committed or assigned for those specific purposes.

The Fund considers restricted or unrestricted amounts spent when an expenditure is incurred for purposes for which both restricted and unrestricted fund balance is available. Committed amounts would be reduced first, followed by assigned amounts and then unassigned amounts when expenditures are incurred for purposes for which amounts in any of those unrestricted fund balance classifications could be used.

#### New Pronouncements

The GASB issued Statement No. 63, *Financial Reporting of Deferred Outflows of Resources, Deferred Inflows of Resources, and Net Positions* effective for reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2011. The statement provides guidance for reporting deferred outflows of resources, deferred inflows of resources, and net position in a statement of financial position and related disclosures. The District adopted GASB 63 in the 2012-13 fiscal year financial statements and has reclassified the prior net assets as net positions. In the statement of net position, assets, plus deferred outflows of resources, less liabilities, less deferred inflows of resources, equals net position. The adoption of the provisions of this statement did not have a material impact on the financial position or results of operations of the Fund.

The GASB issued Statement No. 65, *Items Previously Reported as Assets and Liabilities* in March 2012. The provisions of this Statement are effective for financial statements for periods beginning after December 15, 2012

The GASB issued Statement No. 66, *Technical Corrections-2012 (an amendment of GASB Statements No. 10 and No. 62* in March 2012. The provisions of this Statement are effective for financial statements for periods beginning after December 15, 2012.

# 2. Cash and Investments

The Fund's surplus funds are invested directly by the District's Office of Treasury Management. The District maintains a common cash and investment pool for certain of the School Board Funds. The Fund's cash and investments are included in the District's pooled cash.

Notes to Special-Purpose Financial Statements - Continued

As of and for the Years Ended June 30, 2013 and 2012

# 2. Cash and Investments - Continued

As authorized under State Statutes, the School Board has adopted Board Policy 6144, *Investments*, as its formal Investment Policy for all surplus funds, except for the Supplemental Early Retirement Funds, which are invested under Board Policy 6535. Board Policy permits the following investments and is structured to place the highest priority on the safety of principal and liquidity of funds:

- Time Deposits School Board and State approved designated depository.
- U.S. Government direct obligations.
- Revolving Repurchase Agreements or similar investment vehicles for the investment of funds awaiting clearance with financial institutions.
- Commercial Paper rated A1/P1/F1 or better.
- Bankers Acceptances with the 100 largest banks in the world.
- State Board of Administration Local Government Investment Pool.
- Obligations of the Federal Farm Credit Banks.
- Obligations of the Federal Home Loan Bank.
- Obligations of the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation.
- Obligations guaranteed by the Government National Mortgage Association.
- Obligations of the Federal National Mortgage Association.
- Securities of any investment company or investment trust registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940, 15 U.S.C.

**Interest Rate Risk:** In accordance with its investment policy under Board Policy 6144, the School Board manages its exposure to declines in fair values by substantially limiting the weighted average maturity on all investments to one year or less. U.S. Government Agency Securities of the Fund include approximately \$1,311,315 in 2013 in callable securities that are assumed to be called on the next call date, and as such, the weighted average maturity reflects the call date as the maturity date for these securities.

#### Notes to Special-Purpose Financial Statements - Continued

As of and for the Years Ended June 30, 2013 and 2012

#### 2. Cash and Investments - Continued

*Credit Risk:* In accordance with Board Policy 6144 the School Board manages its exposure to credit risk by limiting bonds investment to the highest rated Government Agency securities, Commercial Paper rated A-1 or better, and Money Market Mutual Funds rated AAAm. In addition, all Time Deposits must be issued by Board approved banks that are fully collateralized with the State of Florida Qualified Public Depositories program.

		Percentage of Investments
Investment Type	Rating*	2013
Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation Agency	AA+	5.19%
Federal Home Loan Bank Agency	AA+	16.17%
Federal Farm Credit Banks Agency	AA+	1.94%
Commercial Paper	A-1+ to A-1	70.18%
Time Deposits	Not Rated	6.52%
* Standard & Poor's rating as of June 30, 2013		

**Concentration Risks:** In accordance with Board Policy 6144, the District permits investments of up to 7.5% of the total portfolio in Commercial Paper with a single issuer and 60% in total, 30% in Federal Farm Credit Bank, 30% in Federal Home Loan Bank, 30% in Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation, 30% in Federal National Mortgage Association agency securities, 10% in Time Deposits with a single issuer, and unlimited U.S. Treasury Notes as well as Government Obligations and Money Market Mutual Funds. Although all the investments were purchased within the established percentage threshold, when compared to the entire portfolio including cash equivalents as permitted by the policy, certain investments exceeded the limits of the policy due to the normal decrease of the portfolio's total value as other investments matured during the course of the year.

**Custodial Risk:** All securities in accordance with the District's investment policy under Policy 6144, with the exception of time deposits, are held by a third party custodian in an account separate and apart from the assets of the custodian designated as assets of the District.

Notes to Special-Purpose Financial Statements - Continued

As of and for the Years Ended June 30, 2013 and 2012

#### 2. Cash and Investments - Continued

Cash and investments for the Fund as of June 30, 2013, were as follows:

Investment Type	Fa	ir Value	Weighted Average Maturity (Years)			
Time Deposits	\$	366,674	.24			
Commercial Paper		3,950,047	.06			
U.S. Government Agency		1,311,315	.34			
Total cash and investments	\$	5,628,036				

#### 3. Credits and Contributions In-Lieu-Of Impact Fees

Credits or contributions in-lieu-of impact fees up to the full amount of the fee (excluding the administrative charge) are available, subject to certain provisions, for land or monetary contributions provided by the fee payer prior to October 1, 1995 (credit) or negotiated after October 1, 1995 (contributions in-lieu-of impact fees). As of June 30, 2013, the County has reported approximately \$11,098,000 of approved credits and contributions in-lieu-of impact fees of which none have been applied as of June 30, 2013 and remain available to be applied in future years. There were no credits and contributions in-lieu-of impact fees applied during fiscal years 2013 and 2012, respectively.

#### 4. Letters of Credit

Fee payers are permitted to secure their impact fee obligation by letter of credit payable to Miami-Dade County, Florida, upon completion of the development project. The fees associated with these letters of credit are not currently available and as such have not been reported within the special-purpose financial statements. Approximately \$2,017,000 and \$871,000 in letters of credit were payable to Miami-Dade County, Florida, at June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Notes to Special-Purpose Financial Statements - Continued

As of and for the Years Ended June 30, 2013 and 2012

#### 5. Intergovernmental Transactions

# Due from Miami-Dade County

At June 30, 2013 and 2012 Miami-Dade County owed the Fund approximately \$7,382,163 and \$3,396,549, respectively, in impact fees collected from the Benefit Districts.

# **Operating Transfers Out/Due to Other Funds**

For the fiscal years ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, the Fund transferred approximately \$18,699,626 and \$6,981,494, respectively, to The School Board of Miami-Dade County, Florida, debt service fund to cover principal and interest payments on the Series 2004A, Series 2005A, Series 2006C, Series 2006D, Series 2012B-1, and Series 2012B-2 Certificates of Participation. Approximately, \$8,457,252 and \$1,639,845 of the operating transfers were an outstanding liability at June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively and are included in Due to other funds in the Balance Sheets.

#### Administrative Expenditures

The School Board provides certain personnel services and other administrative costs to the Fund. Actual costs reimbursed to the School Board totaled approximately \$8,297 and \$79,226 for fiscal years ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

#### 6. Commitments

The Interlocal Agreement requires the School Board to expend or encumber impact fee revenues within six years from the date of collection. Impact fees have been restricted for the schools and COPS debt service payments.

In accordance with the provisions of the 2000 First Amended Interlocal Agreement between Miami-Dade County and The School Board of Miami-Dade County, Florida, the School Board has issued Certificates of Participation ("COPS"). As of June 30, 2013, there is approximately \$161,143,841 of impact fee COPS total debt service requirements outstanding.

The School Board has available the non-voted millage known as the "Local Option Millage Levy" as a primary source of funding for the COPS debt service. Additionally, the agreement provides that the School Board is entitled to be reimbursed from future impact fee revenues for COPS debt service payments from non-impact fee revenues.

The current Educational Facilities Impact Fee Ordinance (Impact Fees) was adopted in 1995 and recommended revisions to the Impact Fees methodology and increase in fees completed in January 2006 were not adopted by Miami-Dade County (County). While the proposed amendments to the impact fees were approved on first reading by the Board of County Commissioners (BCC) on February 20, 2007 and subsequently scheduled for a public hearing before the BCC Government, Operations & Environment (GOE) Committee on July 11, 2007, the item was tabled at the time and has not been rescheduled.

#### Notes to Special-Purpose Financial Statements - Continued

As of and for the Years Ended June 30, 2013 and 2012

#### 6. Commitments - Continued

On July 12, 2004, the District issued \$87,210,000 in Refunding Certificates of Participation (COPS) Series 2004A for the purpose of refunding a portion totaling \$82,820,000 of the 2000A and 2001C Certificates. The 2004A Certificates mature on October 1, 2020 and will pay interest ranging from 3.5% to 5.25%. See Series 2012B-1 for partial refunding of Series 2004A.

The total obligation under the series 2004A COPS is as follows:

Year Ending June 30	Principal		Interest			Total Requirements		
2014	\$	7,810,000	\$	2,352,464		\$	10,162,464	
2015		7,435,000		2,053,581			9,488,581	
2016		8,510,000		1,700,950			10,210,950	
2017		9,025,000		1,260,656			10,285,656	
2018		9,500,000		774,375			10,274,375	
Thereafter		10,000,000		262,500	_		10,262,500	
Total	\$	52,280,000	\$	8,404,526	=	\$	60,684,526	

On June 15, 2005, the School Board issued \$53,680,000 in Certificates of Participation (COPS) Series 2005A. The 2005A Certificates mature on April 1, 2020 and will pay interest ranging from 4% to 5%. See Series 2012B-2 for partial refunding of Series 2005A.

The total obligation under the series 2005A COPS is as follows:

Year Ending June 30	 Principal		Interest			Total Requirements		
2014 2015	\$ 2,040,000 2,040,000	\$	204,000 102,000		\$	2,244,000 2,142,000		
Total	\$ 4,080,000	\$	306,000	•	\$	4,386,000		

Notes to Special-Purpose Financial Statements - Continued

As of and for the Years Ended June 30, 2013 and 2012

#### 6. Commitments - Continued

On May 10, 2006, the School Board issued \$53,665,000 in COPS Series 2006C. The Series 2006C COPS mature on October 1, 2021 and will pay interest ranging from 3.875% to 5%.

The total obligation under the series 2006C COPS is as follows:

Year Ending June 30	Principal		Principal Interest		nterest	Total Requirements		
2014	\$	3,330,000	\$	1,688,400		\$	5,018,400	
2015		3,470,000		1,552,400			5,022,400	
2016		3,630,000		1,392,250			5,022,250	
2017		3,815,000		1,206,125			5,021,125	
2018		4,010,000		1,010,500			5,020,500	
Thereafter		18,205,000		1,877,625			20,082,625	
Total	\$	36,460,000	\$	8,727,300		\$	45,187,300	

On December 21, 2006, the School Board issued \$10,570,000 in COPS Series 2006D. The Series partially refunded COPS 2001C. The Series 2006D mature on October 1, 2021 and will pay interest ranging from 3.625% and 5.00%.

The total obligation under the series 2006D COPS is as follows:

Year Ending						Total	
June 30	Principal		Principal Interest		iterest	Requiremen	
2014	\$	105,000	\$	490,877	\$	595,877	
2015		790,000		473,175		1,263,175	
2016		50,000		456,438		506,438	
2017		-		455,500		455,500	
2018		-		455,500		455,500	
Thereafter		9,110,000		1,154,000		10,264,000	
Total	\$	10,055,000	\$	3,485,490	\$	13,540,490	

Notes to Special-Purpose Financial Statements - Continued

As of and for the Years Ended June 30, 2013 and 2012

#### 6. Commitments - Continued

On July 5, 2012, the School Board issued \$13,765,000 in COPS Series 2012B-1. The Series partially refunded COPS 2004A. The Series 2012B-1 mature on October 1, 2020 and pay interest of 5%.

Year Ending June 30	Principal				In	terest	Total uirements
2014	\$	-	\$	688,250	\$ 688,250		
2015		-		688,250	688,250		
2016		-		688,250	688,250		
2017		-		688,250	688,250		
2018		-		688,250	688,250		
Thereafter	13,7	765,000		1,384,875	 15,149,875		
Total	\$ 13,	765,000	\$	4,826,125	\$ 18,591,125		

The total obligation under the series 2012B-1 is as follows:

On July 5, 2012, the School Board issued \$15,655,000 in COPS Series 2012B-2. The Series partially refunded COPS 2005A. The Series 2012B-2 mature on April 1, 2020 and pay interest of 4%.

The total obligation under the series 2012B-2 is as follows:

Year Ending June 30	Principal		Int	erest	-	lotal irements
2014	\$	-	\$	626,200	\$	626,200
2015		-		626,200		626,200
2016	3	3,395,000		626,200		4,021,200
2017	3	3,060,000		490,400		3,550,400
2018	3	3,095,000		368,000		3,463,000
Thereafter	6	6,105,000		362,400		6,467,400
Total	\$ 15	5,655,000	\$	3,099,400	\$	18,754,400

# **Combining Financial Statements**

# Combining Balance Sheets (By Benefit District and Administrative Activity)

# June 30, 2013 (With Comparative Totals for June 30, 2012)

	East District	Northwest District	Southwest District	Administrative	2013 Total	2012 Total
Assets						
Cash and investments	\$ 162,892	\$ 3,427,416	\$ 1,880,504	\$ 157,224	\$ 5,628,036	\$ 582,227
Interest and dividends receivable	-	1,321	160	62	1,543	320
Due from Miami-Dade County	5,384,768	889,064	1,030,130	78,201	7,382,163	3,396,549
Total assets	\$ 5,547,660	\$ 4,317,801	\$ 2,910,794	\$ 235,487	\$ 13,011,742	\$ 3,979,096
Liabilities and fund balance						
Liabilities:						
Due to other funds	\$ 5,546,823	\$-	\$ 2,910,429	\$-	\$ 8,457,252	\$ 1,639,845
Total liabilities	5,546,823		2,910,429	-	8,457,252	1,639,845
Fund balance:						
Restricted for:						
Capital projects	837	4,317,801	365	235,487	4,554,490	2,339,251
Total fund balance	837	4,317,801	365	235,487	4,554,490	2,339,251
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$ 5,547,660	\$ 4,317,801	\$ 2,910,794	\$ 235,487	\$ 13,011,742	\$ 3,979,096

# Combining Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance (By Benefit District and Administrative Activity)

#### For the Year Ended June 30, 2013 (With Comparative Totals for the Year Ended June 30, 2012)

	East District	Northwest District	Southwest District	Administrative	2013 Total	2012 Total
Revenues: Impact fees Investment income Total revenues	\$ 12,796,277 839 12,797,116	\$ 4,779,497 846 4,780,343	\$ 3,116,184 365 3,116,549	\$ 229,018 136 229,154	\$ 20,920,976 2,186 20,923,162	\$ 8,338,716 537 8,339,253
Expenditures: Administrative Total expenditures		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	8,297 8,297	<u> </u>	79,226 79,226
Excess of revenues over expenditures	12,797,116	4,780,343	3,116,549	220,857	20,914,865	8,260,027
Other financing (uses): Operating transfers out - debt service fund Total other financing (uses)	(12,817,436) (12,817,436)	<u>(1,851,629)</u> (1,851,629)	(4,030,561) (4,030,561)	<u> </u>	(18,699,626) (18,699,626)	(6,981,494) (6,981,494)
Net change in fund balance Beginning fund balance Ending fund balance	(20,320) 21,157 \$ 837	2,928,714 1,389,087 \$ 4,317,801	(914,012) 914,377 \$ 365	220,857 14,630 \$ 235,487	2,215,239 2,339,251 \$4,554,490	1,278,533 1,060,718 \$ 2,339,251

**Required Supplementary Information** 

# Schedule of Construction in Progress and COPS Debt Service Commitments (Unaudited) (Annual Activity by Benefit District)

# June 30, 2013

Project Name	Project Description	East District	Northwest District	Southwest District	Total
None					
Total construction in progress		\$-	<u>\$</u> -	\$ -	\$ -
COPS debt service commitments					
2004A COPS		\$ 29,211,413	\$ 9,709,430	\$ 21,763,683	\$ 60,684,526
2005A COPS		4,386,000	-	-	4,386,000
2006C COPS		40,249,112	-	4,938,188	45,187,300
2006D COPS		11,099,140	2,441,350	-	13,540,490
2012B-1 COPS		11,022,678	3,099,141	4,469,306	18,591,125
2012B-2 COPS		18,754,400			18,754,400
Total COPS debt service commitments		\$ 114,722,743	\$ 15,249,921	\$ 31,171,177	\$ 161,143,841



#### Report of Independent Certified Public Accountants on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters on an Audit of Special-Purpose Financial Statements Performed in Accordance With *Government Auditing Standards*

To the Honorable Chairperson and Members of The School Board of Miami-Dade County, Florida

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the special-purpose financial statements of the Educational Facilities Impact Fee Fund (the "Fund") of The School Board of Miami-Dade County, Florida (the "School Board"), which comprise the special-purpose balance sheets as of June 30, 2013 and 2012, and the related special-purpose statements of revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balance for the years then ended, and the related notes to the special-purpose financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated September 25, 2013.

#### Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the special-purpose financial statements, we considered the Fund's internal control (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the special-purpose financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Fund's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Fund's internal control.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect and correct misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or, significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.



#### **Compliance and Other Matters**

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the Fund's special-purpose financial statements are free of material misstatements, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations and contracts, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

We noted certain matters that we reported to management in the attached Schedule of Comments and Recommendations.

#### Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

C Borders-Byrd, CPA LLC

Miami, Florida September 25, 2013

Schedule of Comments and Recommendations

June 30, 2013

#### Current Year Comments and Recommendations - None.

#### **Prior Year Comments and Recommendations**

#### Observation

The current Educational Facilities Impact Fee Ordinance (Impact Fees) was adopted in 1995 and recommended revisions to the Impact Fees methodology and increase in fees completed in January 2006 were not adopted by Miami-Dade County (County). While the proposed amendments to the impact fees were approved on first reading by the Board of County Commissioners (BCC) on February 20, 2007 and subsequently scheduled for a public hearing before the BCC Government, Operations & Environment (GOE) Committee on July 11, 2007, the item was tabled at the time and has not been rescheduled.

Management should continue its efforts to update the current impact fee structure.

#### Current Status

Based on construction trends over the last five years, District staff remains concerned that the data reflects a period of market instability that could skew findings and appropriate revisions to the ordinance. While the market seems to be rebounding, a full review of the ordinance would be more appropriate in two to three years to ensure the results are meaningful and fair to all parties.